

Private and Confidential

Mrs Julie Smith
St Georges Medical Practice
Round House Medical Centre
Langsett Court
Athersley
Barnsley
South Yorkshire
S71 1RY

Improving Practice Questionnaire Report

St Georges Medical Practice

June 2017



1 Northleigh House
Thorverton Road
Matford Business Park
Exeter
EX2 8HF

Mrs Julie Smith
St Georges Medical Practice
Round House Medical Centre
Langsett Court
Athersley
Barnsley
South Yorkshire
S71 1RY

t 01392 823766
f 01392 824767

e enquiries@cfepsurveys.co.uk
w www.cfepsurveys.co.uk

09 June 2017

Dear Mrs Smith

This report outlines your patient feedback from the Improving Practice Questionnaire (IPQ). Your results have been illustrated in tables and graphs with associated benchmarks where applicable. Details of score calculation and statistical methods have been provided to help you in the interpretation and understanding of your results. You will also receive an A4 poster summarising your results and a certificate of completion which you may like to display to patients to indicate that you value their views in order to inform positive change within your practice.

A guidance template for discussion of these local survey findings and an action plan have also been included which may help facilitate discussions with your patient reference group (PRG).

The format of this report has been updated, which we hope will provide you with a clearer picture of performance.

We hope these results give you useful feedback as to how patients rated the practice and its service, and provide you with a basis for reflection. In order to enable us to improve our services we would be grateful if you could complete a feedback form using the following link:

<http://www.cfepsurveys.co.uk/questionnaires/feedback/default.aspx?psid=204847>

Please contact the office on 01392 823766 or reports@cfepsurveys.co.uk if you require further information about your report.

Yours sincerely

CFEP UK Reports Team

Report Contents

Introduction

Your patient feedback

Distribution and frequency of ratings (table 1)	P1
Your mean percentage scores and benchmarks from all participating practices (table 2, graph 1)	P2
Your mean percentage scores and benchmarks by practice list size (table 3, graph 2)	P3
Your patient demographics (table 4)	P4
Your current and previous mean percentage scores (table 5)	P5
Your patient comments	P6

Supporting documents

Details of score calculation
Explanation of quartiles
Page by page guide to the interpretation of your report
Sample questionnaire

Introduction

About the IPQ

The IPQ is a well-established questionnaire widely used in the UK.

Since 2004, over 3,000,000 patients have completed an IPQ providing valuable patient feedback to over 4,000 practices and over 16,000 health practitioners, many of these practices and health practitioners having completed the survey on more than one occasion.

Extensive published validation studies have established that the IPQ is a reliable and sensitive tool: accurately measuring patient satisfaction in designated areas and is sensitive to change - if the IPQ is carried out on more than one occasion any change in patient perception of service can be clearly and reliably monitored.

This report outlines the feedback that has been collected and analysed from a sample of your patients. Full explanation on how to interpret this information can be found in the report. We hope that this feedback is useful and a basis for reflection.

A sample of the IPQ questionnaire is included at the end of this report for reference.

About the benchmarks

Benchmarks are a useful guide as to how your practice performed in relation to all the practices who have carried out an IPQ survey. Benchmark data provided relates to either all practices or according to practice list size (the practice list size benchmarks displayed in this report are representative of your practice), as we have established this plays a part in scores achieved. However, it should be noted that other factors such as geographical location and clinical setting may also affect scores and benchmarks may not always be truly representative. Furthermore as it is not mandatory for a practice to carry out an IPQ survey, benchmarks provided are effectively based on data collected from a *volunteer* sample. Volunteer samples often perform better than an 'average' sample which could make the benchmarks provided artificially high.

Your feedback

From the report you will be able to clearly pinpoint areas where you scored well and also those areas where you might feel that improvements may be needed. However, it is advisable to take time to assimilate all the feedback and to avoid scanning the report and noting specific scores on which too much emphasis can be placed. In fact, the clearest reflection of patient satisfaction can often be seen in the frequency and distribution of patient ratings and in their written comments.

A page by page guide to the interpretation of your report has been incorporated in the supporting documentation at the end of this report which you may find useful.

Other useful information

Together with your report you will receive:

- An A4 poster: to enable you to share the results of your local survey with the patients in your practice.
- A 'Guidance template for discussion of local findings and action plan' to help you reflect on the survey results.

Your patient feedback

Your patient feedback

Table 1: Distribution and frequency of ratings, questions 1-28

Question	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Blank/spoilt
Q1 Opening hours satisfaction	0	13	45	50	38	4
Q2 Telephone access	33	33	44	23	16	1
Q3 Appointment satisfaction	13	22	48	33	33	1
Q4 See practitioner within 48hrs	49	36	24	22	14	5
Q5 See practitioner of choice	31	39	35	23	16	6
Q6 Speak to practitioner on phone	21	35	40	28	14	12
Q7 Comfort of waiting room	0	10	48	45	47	0
Q8 Waiting time	10	28	55	29	23	5
Q9 Satisfaction with visit	4	9	28	43	64	2
Q10 Warmth of greeting	0	9	26	36	74	5
Q11 Ability to listen	1	10	25	44	69	1
Q12 Explanations	1	10	27	44	64	4
Q13 Reassurance	2	9	27	45	60	7
Q14 Confidence in ability	2	7	27	37	74	3
Q15 Express concerns/fears	2	9	31	41	63	4
Q16 Respect shown	0	6	29	36	73	6
Q17 Time for visit	3	11	33	38	60	5
Q18 Consideration	5	9	24	39	66	7
Q19 Concern for patient	5	9	24	37	66	9
Q20 Self care	3	12	22	42	63	8
Q21 Recommendation	6	13	15	34	70	12
Q22 Reception staff	2	12	34	37	62	3
Q23 Respect for privacy/confidentiality	2	13	28	42	59	6
Q24 Information of services	2	13	35	42	48	10
Q25 Complaints/compliments	7	16	41	33	34	19
Q26 Illness prevention	3	11	45	38	41	12
Q27 Reminder systems	2	9	37	41	51	10
Q28 Second opinion / comp medicine	3	14	45	29	35	24

Blank/spoilt responses are not included in the analysis (see score explanation)

Your patient feedback

Table 2: Your mean percentage scores and benchmarks from all participating practices


	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*					
		National mean score (%)	Min	Lower quartile	Median	Upper quartile	Max
About the practice							
Q1 Opening hours satisfaction	69	69	23	64	68	73	92
Q2 Telephone access	43	62	13	53	63	71	92
Q3 Appointment satisfaction	59	68	23	63	68	74	92
Q4 See practitioner within 48hrs	36	62	18	54	62	70	96
Q5 See practitioner of choice	42	58	22	48	57	65	95
Q6 Speak to practitioner on phone	46	61	25	54	61	67	92
Q7 Comfort of waiting room	72	66	27	60	66	71	90
Q8 Waiting time	55	56	25	50	56	62	90
About the practitioner							
Q9 Satisfaction with visit	76	80	41	76	81	85	97
Q10 Warmth of greeting	80	82	45	78	82	86	96
Q11 Ability to listen	79	82	46	78	83	87	97
Q12 Explanations	77	81	42	77	81	85	97
Q13 Reassurance	77	79	41	75	80	84	98
Q14 Confidence in ability	80	82	43	79	83	87	99
Q15 Express concerns/fears	76	80	45	76	81	85	96
Q16 Respect shown	81	84	49	80	85	88	98
Q17 Time for visit	74	79	38	75	80	84	96
Q18 Consideration	77	79	41	75	79	83	98
Q19 Concern for patient	77	80	43	76	80	84	97
Q20 Self care	76	79	38	75	79	83	97
Q21 Recommendation	77	81	41	78	82	86	99
About the staff							
Q22 Reception staff	75	76	29	72	77	81	96
Q23 Respect for privacy/confidentiality	75	76	43	72	76	80	96
Q24 Information of services	72	73	29	68	73	77	96
Finally							
Q25 Complaints/compliments	64	66	31	62	66	70	96
Q26 Illness prevention	69	69	34	64	68	72	96
Q27 Reminder systems	73	68	27	63	68	72	96
Q28 Second opinion / comp medicine	66	67	30	62	67	71	96
Overall score	69	73	35	69	73	77	95

Your mean score for this question falls in the highest 25% of all means
 Your mean score for this question falls in the middle 50% of all means
 Your mean score for this question falls in the lowest 25% of all means

9541

*Based on data from 927 practices carrying out 1,326 surveys between April 2010 and March 2013 with 25 or more responses. Please note the reliability of your patient feedback may be marginally reduced if less than 25 patient ratings per question is achieved (see table 1). In the event that there are less than 5 patient responses for any question, the corresponding score will not be illustrated. Please see the supporting documents at the end of this report for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

Graph 1: Your mean percentage scores in ascending order of performance with benchmark mean scores from all participating practices



9541

Your patient feedback

Table 3: Mean percentage scores and benchmarks by practice list size (6001-8000 patients)

	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*					
		National mean score	Min	Lower quartile	Median	Upper quartile	Max
About the practice							
Q1 Opening hours satisfaction	69	67	48	64	67	71	86
Q2 Telephone access	43	60	28	54	61	67	85
Q3 Appointment satisfaction	59	67	46	62	67	72	87
Q4 See practitioner within 48hrs	36	60	30	53	60	67	86
Q5 See practitioner of choice	42	55	28	47	55	61	84
Q6 Speak to practitioner on phone	46	59	29	53	58	66	84
Q7 Comfort of waiting room	72	64	39	60	65	69	82
Q8 Waiting time	55	54	25	49	55	61	83
About the practitioner							
Q9 Satisfaction with visit	76	80	44	76	80	84	93
Q10 Warmth of greeting	80	82	46	78	82	85	94
Q11 Ability to listen	79	82	46	79	82	86	95
Q12 Explanations	77	81	45	77	81	85	94
Q13 Reassurance	77	79	44	76	80	84	94
Q14 Confidence in ability	80	82	47	79	82	87	95
Q15 Express concerns/fears	76	80	46	77	80	84	93
Q16 Respect shown	81	84	49	80	84	88	95
Q17 Time for visit	74	79	51	76	79	83	94
Q18 Consideration	77	78	41	74	79	83	91
Q19 Concern for patient	77	79	43	76	80	84	93
Q20 Self care	76	78	46	75	79	82	91
Q21 Recommendation	77	81	47	78	82	86	95
About the staff							
Q22 Reception staff	75	75	29	72	76	79	90
Q23 Respect for privacy/confidentiality	75	75	45	72	75	78	88
Q24 Information of services	72	71	29	68	72	75	87
Finally							
Q25 Complaints/compliments	64	65	50	62	66	69	85
Q26 Illness prevention	69	67	36	64	67	71	85
Q27 Reminder systems	73	66	29	63	66	70	85
Q28 Second opinion / comp medicine	66	66	53	62	66	69	86
Overall score	69	72	45	69	72	76	87

Your mean score for this question falls in the highest 25% of all means
 Your mean score for this question falls in the middle 50% of all means
 Your mean score for this question falls in the lowest 25% of all means


9547

*Based on data from 171 practices carrying out 247 surveys between April 2010 and March 2013 with 25 or more responses.

Please note the reliability of your patient feedback may be marginally reduced if less than 25 patient responses per question is achieved. In the event that there are less than 5 patient responses for any question, this score will not be illustrated.

See the supporting documents at the end of this report for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

Graph 2: Your mean percentage scores in ascending order of performance with benchmark mean scores by list size (6001-8000 patients)



9547

Your patient feedback

Table 4: Your patient demographics

Number of patient responses by category, your mean percentage scores and benchmarks by practice list size (6001-8000 patients)

	Number of responses	Your mean score (%)	Benchmark data (%)*					
			National mean score (%)	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Maximum
Age								
Under 25	14	73	70	44	65	70	75	92
25 - 59	82	66	71	44	68	72	75	93
60 +	46	72	74	43	71	75	78	87
Blank	8	70	70	46	64	70	77	91
Gender								
Female	97	67	72	42	68	72	76	86
Male	46	70	73	46	69	74	77	91
Blank	7	76	71	45	65	71	75	93
Visit usual practitioner								
Yes	80	71	74	46	71	75	78	90
No	50	67	69	38	65	69	73	92
Blank	20	61	71	46	66	71	75	87
Years attending								
< 5 years	39	68	72	53	68	72	76	92
5 - 10 years	28	69	71	38	67	72	76	91
> 10 years	76	68	73	45	69	73	77	85
Blank	7	75	71	45	66	70	77	92

*Based on data from 171 practices carrying out 247 surveys between April 2010 and March 2013 with 25 or more responses.

Demographic category mean percentage scores are calculated from all the ratings from all questions for that demographic group.

Please note the reliability of your patient feedback may be marginally reduced if less than 25 patient ratings per category is achieved. In the event that there are less than 5 patient responses for any question, the corresponding score will not be illustrated.

Please see the supporting documents at the end of this report for percentage score calculation and quartile information.

9547

Your patient feedback

All written patient comments have been included in their entirety but details which could identify a specific patient have been removed to ensure anonymity.

Any comments about how this practice could improve its service?

- Keep up the good service.
- Rethink receptionists and manner as one in particular is terrible.
- More early and late appointments. Ringing at 8.00am on the day otherwise a doctor's appointment is an absolute joke. Had to wait two months to get an 8.00am appointment today as I work in Leeds.
- Waiting time for appointments for bloods and nurse can be very long. When waiting room is quiet cannot avoid overhearing reception conversations.
- Always a smile for you and a good morning or noon from the doctor who is excellent.
- Perfect.
- Out of hours service could be improved. My partner struggles to get an appointment as they are away from Monday to Friday.
- More appointments when needed.
- It's very hard to get in to see the doctor quickly.
- Very good.
- Unable to get through to the surgery on the telephone, I always come in to make an appointment. This is inconvenient but does save time as I have waited a long time to get through on the phone.
- Short notice appointments can only be made on the same day otherwise the wait is too long.
- Since joining I have a good respect for all. They are friendly and helpful.
- All excellent whenever I've had to visit.
- Another phone line or option while phone is engaged.
- To be able to contact reception for an appointment could improve and waiting to get an appointment with nurse takes too long.
- More than one reception at busy times. Better phone service, unable to get through at busy times.
- Easier to contact the surgery would be better.
- Loved the doctor, will be asking for them in future appointments.
- Could improve phone service.
- More early morning appointments. I started ringing at 8.00am and was finally answered at 8.20am with no available appointments. I had 76 calls registered, no engaged tones in those 76 calls just constant ringing.
- Trying to get through by telephone when you have a limited amount of time to do this is horrendous. Also being able to ring for repeat prescriptions between 10 - 12 isn't acceptable when you are in a job that doesn't allow for this.
- Some background music in waiting room would help - regarding patient confidentiality and to distract for anxious patients. At the moment people in the waiting room can hear everything said at reception desk.
- If you need an emergency or urgent appointment you have to phone after 8am, this can take along time to get through and can be very frustrating, can this be improved?
- Some of the receptionists to be more understanding and listen. They are not a doctor. More than one phone for appointments. Sometimes, no, all the time can take up to 50 minutes to get through.
- I had a baby recently and I have really struggled to get an appointment for getting a prescription for the pill. Also you can't speak to a doctor about more than one thing at a time.
- More appointments available to people who work 9 - 5.

Your patient feedback

All written patient comments have been included in their entirety but details which could identify a specific patient have been removed to ensure anonymity.

Any comments about how this practice could improve its service?

- A two week wait is sometimes too long for an ailment which isn't urgent, but you don't want to be waiting two weeks to get sorted. Also sometimes a few things pop up at once and only being able to discuss one thing at a time, means making multiple appointments, maybe a double appointment option?
- By having more phone lines open.
- See people on time.
- Maybe to have another telephone line.
- Excellent service.
- 1. Making appointments (time) easier and quicker. 2. Getting through to reception in morning when telephoning.
- Unsure - perhaps an appointment system, length of time to get an appointment can be shortened.
- Very good.
- After being referred for a hospital appointment, there is a lack of a follow up call i.e. recently referred for a heart monitor fitting for 7 days, no one been in touch with results - I've had to ask to make an appointment for results today.
- Make speaking or seeing someone available. Getting through on the telephone is difficult at certain times. Stay open later in the evening.
- Contacting by phone.
- One of your receptionists is ill mannered and aggressive.
- I have been with this practice for a few years now, it is by far superior to the one I have used since the NHS was formed.
- The service at this practice is very good.
- Being able to speak to receptionist in private.
- All good.
- None, excellent service by all the staff.
- I'm no expert.
- I have waited 45 minutes or so after my appointment time on quite a few occasions and when I ask to speak to a doctor or nurse it always seems to be a problem.
- To be able to have an appointment when needed with the doctor of your choice and not referred to another doctor with being informed before appointments.
- Better phone lines.

Your patient feedback

All written patient comments have been included in their entirety but details which could identify a specific patient have been removed to ensure anonymity.

Any comments about how the doctor/nurse could improve?

- The doctor is excellent.
- Excellent.
- No improvements needed.
- No the doctor is excellent.
- More appointments availability. Charge patients for missed appointments then there will be less time wasters.
- All really good.
- No the service is very good.
- Requires more support in numbers. With ongoing issues, would be ideal to have the same nurse attending to the matter.
- See you on time.
- Seven days a week would be great.
- By taking a patient's health concerns more seriously instead of denying a patient ongoing treatment / referral.
- All good.
- To have same concern for patients as one of the other doctors.
- Give them more time for appointments.

Supporting documents

Supporting documents

Details of score calculation

The score provided for each question in this questionnaire is the mean (average) value of all of the ratings from all patients who completed the question. It is expressed as a percentage - so the best possible score is 100%.

Example using data from your Q1 Opening hours satisfaction

Total number of patients responses = 150

Questionnaire rating scale	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Blank/spoilt
Number of ratings	0	13	45	50	38	4

Value assigned to each rating	0	25	50	75	100	n/a

$$\frac{(\text{number of Poor ratings} \times 0) + (\text{number of Fair ratings} \times 25) + (\text{number of Good ratings} \times 50) + (\text{number of Very Good ratings} \times 75) + (\text{number of Excellent ratings} \times 100)}{(\text{Total number of patient responses} - \text{number of blank/spoilt})} = \frac{(0 \times 0) + (13 \times 25) + (45 \times 50) + (50 \times 75) + (38 \times 100)}{(150 - 4)} = 10,125/146$$

Your mean percentage score for Q1 = 69%

Explanation of quartiles

In statistics a quartile is any one of the three values that divide data into four equal parts, each part represents ¼ of the sampled population.

Quartiles comprise:

Lower quartile, below which lies the lowest 25% of the data

The median, cuts the data set in half

Upper quartile, above which lies the top 25% of the data

Please note that the benchmarks presented in this report are based on data obtained from a volunteer sample of practices, and as such may be artificially high.

Question	Your mean score (%)
Q1 Opening hours satisfaction	69

Benchmark data (%)*				
Min	Lower quartile	Median	Upper quartile	Max
23	64	68	73	92

9541

*Based on data from 927 practices carrying out 1,326 surveys between April 2010 and March 2013 with 25 or more responses.

Supporting documents

Page by page guide to the interpretation of your report

Page 1

The frequency distribution table (table 1) shows the number of patient ratings from poor to excellent and the number of 'blank/spoilt' responses for every question (a blank response is where a patient did not respond to the question and a spoilt response is where more than one tick box option was chosen or if the questionnaire was defaced). If these values are added up, for any one question, this will equate to the total number of patients surveyed (shown in the top right hand corner of the page). This table clearly shows the degree of satisfaction patients have with each aspect of the practice considered. Please note the spread of the ratings. Are they widely spread or closely packed around one or two specific ratings? One or two higher or lower ratings can make a big difference to your mean percentage scores illustrated in tables 2 and 3.

Page 2

The mean percentage score and benchmark table (table 2) illustrates your mean percentage scores for each question calculated from the data in table 1. Each score is the mean (average) score calculated from valid patient ratings (i.e. not the blank/spoilt responses) expressed as a percentage (see score calculation sheet also in the supporting document section of your report). It has been established by our statisticians that the reliability of your patient feedback for any one question may be marginally reduced if less than 25 valid patient responses is achieved (this number can be determined from table 1). In the event that there are less than 5 patient responses, the corresponding score for the question will not be illustrated.

Your scores have been displayed in colour coded boxes to indicate how your score falls within the benchmark data (within the highest 25%, the middle 50% or the lowest 25% of all the mean percentage scores achieved by all practices in the benchmark sample). The provenance of the benchmark data is provided in the footer below the table.

Graph 1 illustrates your mean percentage scores in ascending order of performance with benchmark means from all participating practices.

Page 3

Table 3 and graph 2 are the same as for page 2, but with benchmarks provided relevant to your practice list size. Evidence indicates that practices with smaller list sizes tend to perform better than those with larger list sizes.

Page 4

Table 4 shows the number of patient responses from each 'demographic' group detailed on the questionnaire i.e. age, gender, if the patient saw their usual practitioner or not and the number of years attending the practice. Demographic category mean percentage scores are calculated from all the ratings from all questions for that demographic group. Associated benchmark mean scores relevant to your practice list size are also provided.

The same criteria concerning reliability of the feedback as explained in Page 2 above applies.

Page 5

Patient comments usually reflect scores achieved. The IPQ was designed to simulate the patient's chronological journey through their visit to the practice. Although the questions in the IPQ are generic, comments can pinpoint specific issues identified by the patient from any part of this journey. If there is a particular problem within the practice e.g. getting through in the morning on the telephone or the lack of chairs in the waiting room suitable for the elderly, this can be clearly picked up in the themes and frequency of comments.

In order to ensure patient anonymity, any personal identifiers are removed. In the unlikely event that we receive a written comment which might relate to serious professional misconduct (e.g. allegations of sexual assault), the comment would be referred to our Clinical Associate who would discuss the matter with you.

Improving Practice Questionnaire



OFFICE USE ONLY	Org ID
	Survey ID
	Practitioner ID

You can help this general practice improve its service

- This practice would welcome your honest feedback
- Please read and complete this survey after you have seen the
- All the information provided by patients is put together in a report for the practice. Your answers will not be identifiable. Any comments you make will be included but all attempts will be made to remove information that could identify you.
- Once completed, please return this survey to reception in the envelope provided

Please mark the box like this with a blue or black ball-point pen. If you change your mind just cross out your old response and make your new choice.

When giving your feedback, please only consider the consultation you have had today.

About the practice

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
1 Your level of satisfaction with the practice's opening hours	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2 Ease of contacting the practice on the telephone	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3 Satisfaction with the day and time arranged for your appointment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 Chances of seeing a doctor/nurse within 48 hours	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5 Chances of seeing a doctor/nurse of <u>your</u> choice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6 Opportunity of speaking to a doctor/nurse on the telephone when necessary	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7 Comfort level of waiting room (e.g. chairs, magazines)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8 Length of time waiting in the practice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

About the doctor/nurse (*whom you have just seen*)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
9 My overall satisfaction with this visit to the doctor/nurse is	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10 The warmth of the doctor/nurse's greeting to me was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11 On this visit I would rate the doctor/nurse's ability to really listen to me as	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12 The doctor/nurse's explanations of things to me were	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13 The extent to which I felt reassured by this doctor/nurse was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14 My confidence in this doctor/nurse's ability is	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15 The opportunity the doctor/nurse gave me to express my concerns or fears was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16 The respect shown to me by this doctor/nurse was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17 The amount of time given to me for this visit was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please turn over ↻



About the doctor/nurse (continued....)

		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
18	This doctor/nurse's consideration of my personal situation in deciding a treatment or advising me was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19	The doctor/nurse's concern for me as a person on this visit was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20	The extent to which the doctor/nurse helped me to take care of myself was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	The recommendation I would give to my friends about this doctor/nurse would be	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

About the staff

		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
22	The manner in which you were treated by the reception staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23	Respect shown for your privacy and confidentiality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24	Information provided by the practice about its service (e.g. repeat prescriptions, test results, cost of private certificates etc)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Finally

		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
25	The opportunity for making compliments or complaints to this practice about its service and quality of care	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
26	The information provided by this practice about how to prevent illness and stay healthy (e.g. alcohol use, health risks of smoking, diet habits etc)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	The availability and administration of reminder systems for ongoing health checks is	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28	The practice's respect of your right to seek a second opinion or complementary medicine was	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Any comments about how this **practice** could improve its service?

Any comments about how the doctor/nurse could improve?

The following questions provide us only with general information about the range of people who have responded to this survey. No one at the practice will be able to identify your personal responses.

<p>How old are you in years?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Under 25</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 25-59</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 60+</p>	<p>Are you:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Female</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Male</p>	<p>Was this visit with your usual clinician?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>How many years have you been attending this practice?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Less than 5 years</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 5-10 years</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> More than 10 years</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Thank you for your time and assistance

Certificate of Completion

This is to certify that

St Georges Medical Practice
Round House Medical Centre
Langsett Court
Athersley
Barnsley
South Yorkshire
S71 1RY

Practice List Size: 6700

Surveys Completed: 150

has completed the

Improving Practice Questionnaire

Completed June 2017



Michael Greco
Director



Thank you to all patients who participated in this survey.
By letting the practice know your views, positive changes can be made for the benefit of all patients.